

Σκέψεις πάνω στην αξιολόγηση προγραμμάτων στο FP-7 Health

Independent Observers' Report (15/04/11)
R. Fears, H. Lehmann, K. Runeberg

Μαντώ Κυριακού

Επίκουρη Καθηγήτρια

Τμήμα Επιτήμης Διαιτολογίας - Διατροφής

2012

Calls:

- FP 7-Health-2011 two stage (27 topics)
- FP 7 -Health-2011 One stage (22 topics)

- 15/11-2/12/2010 stage 1 for two stage
- 14/12/2010-2/2/2011 for single stage
- 14/3-31/3/2011 stage 2 for two stage

FP 7-Health-2011 two stage

- 786 proposals 498 M€ indicative budget (13 ineligible+2 withdrawn)
- 6 pages proposal
- stage 1: 771 proposals 4,040.7M€ requested
- Stage 2: 223 proposals (29%) 1,165 M€ requested
- 220 proposals were evaluated
- 91 short listed (41% 22-55%)

FP 7 -Health-2011 One stage

- 174 proposals 160.5 M€ (8 ineligible)
160.5 indicative budget
- 166 eligible proposals 662.3 M€
requested
- Full proposals (100 pages)
- 2 High Impact Research Initiative
projects (pilot) [better immunization &
human epigenome]
- 33 short listed (20% success)

Evaluation process

FP 7-Health-2011 two stage

- ◆ **Stage 1** : a) Scientific/technology quality (4/5 threshold) b) Potential Impact (3/5 threshold) Overall threshold 8/10
- ◆ **Stage 2**: a) Scientific/technology (4/5 threshold) b) Implementation (3/5 threshold) c) Potential Impact (3/5 threshold) Overall threshold 12/10

Evaluation process

FP 7-Health-2011 single stage

- ◆ a) Scientific/technology quality (3/5 threshold)
 - ◆ b) Implementation (3/5 threshold)
 - ◆ c) Potential Impact (3/5 threshold)
- Overall threshold 10/10

Evaluation process

- Number of experts: 3 minimum - 11/ proposal
- Individual Evaluation Report (IER) at home
- Consensus meetings in Brussels (consensus reports)
- Independent observers

Evaluation criteria

- Scientific and/or technological excellence
- Relevance to the objectives of these specific programmes
- Potential impact through the development, dissemination and use of programme results
- Quality and efficiency of implementation and management

Difficulties

Emphasis on scientific description

- ❖ Difficulties in separating S/T quality criterion from impact
- ❖ SME involvement: intellectual property
- ❖ Training, career development: general objectives for FP7

Clinical proposals

several were evaluated out of scope:

- a) proof-of-concept/pilot studies for a large scale trial topic
- b) good science but not enough data from phase 0 study
- c) some clinical data but not very exciting science

Ευχαριστώ!!!